Medical Industry Association of Australia

21 January 2000

The Secretary
General Tariff Review Inquiry
Productivity Commission
PO Box 80
Belconnen ACT 2616

Dear Sir

Submission

This submission is on behalf of the members of the Association, who are listed in Attachment 1. The Association represents suppliers of medical devices and diagnostics. Most major suppliers to the Australian market are members

Industry's View

For the reasons discussed below the industry believes that continued progress in the reduction of import tariffs would contribute to improving the cost and quality of health care services in Australia

Background

Provision of Health care services is a significant element in the Australian economy, with expenditure on health care currently accounting for around 8.5% of GDP (\$47 billion+ in 97/98). Purchases of medical devices and diagnostics are a significant component of that expenditure, accounting for around 7% of recurrent expenditure of public and private hospitals, excluding medical labour costs. Annual domestic sales of these goods by MIAA members currently are estimated to be around \$1.5 billion.

The great bulk of the device and diagnostic products (about 90%) used in the Australian health care system are not manufactured locally. They are imported. That situation is unlikely to change. For the foreseeable future the nation will continue to rely on overseas sources for most of the products that underpin the delivery of health services in this country. The reasons for this situation were extensively documented in the Commissions 1996 inquiry into the industry (Report No56, December 1996, Medical & Scientific Equipment Industries). In recognition of this reality, the Commission recommended that import duties on the bulk of the industry's products (ie. those classified to Chapter 90 of the Tariff) be removed. That recommendation was accepted by the government and remaining import duties on the industry's products are largely confined to goods classified outside Chapter 90.

The industry supported the commission's recommendation for the removal of Tariffs. That support was based on the belief that the industry's ability to contribute to the national economy and national living standards was best served by Tariff arrangements which did not impede the community's access to the best health care technologies available internationally. That judgement reflects the reality of the industry's structure.

The medical products industry (or at least the segment of it represented by MIAA's membership) cannot be neatly divided into separate groups of "local manufacturers" and "importers". As the Commission's earlier inquiry verified, there are few companies in the industry that source their product range solely from local production. In fact taken as a group, companies engaged in local manufacturing

- have been and remain the largest importers of finished goods, which supplement their local production and form a substantial part of their product ranges
- have become increasingly dependant on sales of imported products for their turnover

Given these circumstances tarriff policies designed to "advantage" local manufacturers over importers could impede the sound development of the industry.

The Association hopes that these views / background will be of assistance to the Commission's inquiry. We look forward to commenting further on these matters once the Commission's draft report is available for consideration

Yours Sincerely

Graham Murphy

Manager, Industry Development.

MIAA MEMBERSHIP

3M Health Care

Abbott Australasia Pty Ltd

Abbott Diagnostics Division

Acute Care Systems Pty Ltd

Alaris Medical Systems

Alcon Laboratories (Aust) Pty Ltd

Allergan Aust Pty Ltd

AMS American Medical Systems

Ansell International

AstraZeneca

B Braun Aust Pty Ltd

Bard Aust Pty Ltd

Bausch & Lomb Aust) Pty Ltd

Baxter Healthcare Pty Ltd

Bayer Diagnostics

Beckman Coulter Aust Pty Ltd

Becton Dickinson Pty Ltd

Beiersdorf Aust Ltd

bioMérieux Aust Pty Ltd

Biomet Aust Pty Ltd

Bio-Rad Laboratories Pty Ltd

Biotronik Asia Pacific Pty Ltd

Boots Healthcare Aust Pty Ltd

Boston Scientific Corporation

Clinical Data (Aust) Pty Ltd

Cochlear Limited

Coloplast Pty Ltd

ConvaTec

CSL Biosciences

Dade Behring Diagnostics Aust

DePuy Aust Pty Ltd

Device Technologies Aust

Endotherapeutics Pty Ltd

Fresenius Australasia Pty Ltd

Gambro Pty Ltd

GE Marquette Medical Systems

Genzyme Australasia Pty Ltd

Guidant Australia & New Zealand

Healthmed Marketing Aust Pty Ltd

Helena Laboratories (Aust) Pty Ltd

Hipokrat Australia Pty Ltd

Hospital Supplies of Australia

Hydron Pty Ltd

Immuno Diagnostics

Integrated Sciences Pty Limited

Johnson & Johnson Medical

Johnson & Johnson Pacific

Johnson & Johnson Research

KCI Medical Aust Pty Ltd

Kimberly-Clark Aust Pty Limited

Link Orthopaedics Australia Ptv Ltd

Linvatec Aust Pty Ltd

Maersk Indoplas Pty Ltd

Mathys Aust Pty Ltd

Medical & Optical

Medical Innovations Ltd

Medical Specialties Aust Pty Ltd

Medtronic Australasia Pty Ltd

Micro Diagnostics Pty Ltd

Microgenics Diagnostics Pty Ltd

N Stenning & Co Pty Ltd

Ocular Sciences Aust

Organon Teknika (Aust) Pty Ltd

Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics

Osteotech Pty Ltd

Pacific Medical Pty Ltd

Pharmacia & Upjohn Pty Ltd

Polartechnics Limited

Promedica Pty Ltd

Roche Diagnostic Aust

Sanofi Pty Ltd

Smith & Nephew Pty Ltd

Smith & Nephew Surgical Ptv Ltd

Sofamor Danek Aust Pty Ltd

Steritech

St. Jude Medical Aust Pty Ltd

Stryker Aust Pty Ltd

Sulzer Technology Corporation

Technology for Life

Terumo Corporation

Tyco Healthcare Aust Pty Ltd

Ulco Medical

Van Leer Flexible Packaging

VidaMed Pty Ltd

Waite & Co Pty Ltd

Welch Allyn Aust Pty Limited

Wesley Jessen/PBH

W.L. Gore and Co

Xomed Aust Pty Ltd

Zimmer